

Impacts of the Invasive Emerald Ash Borer (EAB) on Ash Trees in Virginia Forests

Brian Ditch, Adam Allen, Stephen Ruppert, Dr. Christine Small Department of Biology, Radford University, Virginia



What is the Emerald Ash Borer?

Millions of ash trees (Fraxinus spp.) have been killed since the **Emerald Ash Borer** (Agrilus planepennis; EAB) was introduced to Michigan in 2002. Since then, this Asian wood-boring beetle has spread rapidly through eastern North America. EAB was confirmed recently in Radford and surrounding counties (2016 to 2018), yet it is one of the most destructive forest pests in Virginia. The entire state is now under federal guarantine to limit its spread.



The Emerald Ash Borer feeds only on ash and closely related trees species. Female EAB deposit eggs on the tree bark. After hatching, larval beetles burrow under the bark to feed. Larvae are the most damaging stage of the lifecycle, often killing adult trees in four years. Adult beetles emerge in spring through characteristic **D-shaped exit** holes (shown to the right).



Research Objectives

Our goals were to investigate impacts of the EAB on ash-dominated forests in the Central Appalachians and support state and national efforts to track ash decline, identify genetically resistant ("lingering") individuals, and forests changes associated with the loss of these important tree species.

Monitoring Ash Tree Health

Wildwood Park is a 50-acre (20.2 ha) forested natural area in Radford, Virginia. In the park, we tagged 80 ash trees and assessed each for health and EAB impacts. Forest structure, composition, topography, and soil also were measured In four 400 m² plots around target trees.

We developed a **bark scale** to measure damage on the lower, middle, and upper sections of each ash tree. Ratings ranged from 1 (no signs of EAB impacts) to 5 (severe damage on at least one side of the tree).



- 1 Bark healthy, intact, healthy, no visible woodpecker or EAB damage
- 2 Bark with minor damage; holes or bark removed on < 10% of trunk
- 3 Bark removed on 10-50% of trunk
- 4 Bark removed on 50-90% of trunk
- 5 Severe woodpecker and EAB damage; > 90% of bark removed; serpentine larval galleries typically visible

Woodpecker damage often is the first sign of EAB infestation. Following monitoring protocols from the U.S. Forest Service (Knight et al. 2014), we counted the number of woodpecker and EAB exit holes from 1.25 to 1.75 m high from the base of each tree.

Other tree stress measures included number of epicormic and basal sprouts, bark splits, larval feeding galleries, and extent of canopy dieback. Tree diameter (DBH, measured at 41/2 feet high) and crown class (position of the tree within the forest canopy) were also recorded relative to EAB intensity and damage.

Printing Supported by the Office of Undergraduate Research and Scholarship

EAB Impacts in Radford, Virginia

The graphs below show that co-dominant ash trees had more woodpecker holes. Trees in this crown class reach the forest canopy and receive direct sunlight. Suppressed and intermediate ash trees had relatively few woodpecker holes. Trees in this crown class are shorter and receive little direct sunlight. Severity of bark damage increased with tree diameter. Larger ash trees often had moderate to high bark damage. Smaller diameter trees had less bark damage (low to none).



The table below shows average ash tree measures and signs of EAB infection. In sites with higher tree density, over 75% of ash trees exhibit EAB and woodpecker holes. Trees with more woodpecker holes also had higher bark damage ratings and more exposed larval galleries.

	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Site 4
Tree density (400 m ²)	925	1375	1425	2375
Ash density (400 m ²)	16	37	11	15
Ash diameter (cm)	26.7	12.0	20.1	26.6
# Woodpecker holes	4.4	7.2	9.4	12.9
Presence of woodpecker holes	94%	89%	91%	100%
# EAB holes	10.1	3.7	2.7	4.2
Presence of EAB holes	94%	78%	100%	80%
Bark scale rating	2.1	2.2	2.6	2.6
Presence of larval galleries	6%	14%	18%	40%
Presence of bark splitting	63%	89%	55%	87%

References

Hausman, C.E., Jaeger J.F., and Rocha, O.J. 2010. Impacts of Emeraid Ash Borer (EAB) Eradication and Tree Mortality: Potential for a Secondary Spread of Invasive Plant Species. Biol Invasions 12: 2013–2023. Knight K.S., Flash, B.P., Kapper, R.H., Throckmoton, J.A., Grathon, B., Flower, C.: 2014. Monitoring Ash Neight C.S., Tender, B.P., Kapper, R.H., Throckmoton, J.A., Grathon, B., Flower, C.: 2014. Monitoring Ash Strategies and Strat (Foreins and Decline and Emit A all Borer (Aprilia phragmanic) Symptoms in Interleted Amas. USDA. Forest Service, Northern Research Station, Gen. Tock. Rep. NRS-130. Nativerson Square, PA. Peters, M.P. and Iverson, L.R. 2009. Emerald Ahl Borer (Agrillar phragmanic). Towards a Classification of Tree Health and Early Detection. On USD 5: 55. Emerald Ashl Borer Information Network. VX Cooperative Extension. 2019. Emerald Ahl Borer in Virginia: August 2018 Map. 2014. Control Edministry Extension. 2019. Towards and Classification of Tree Health and Early Detection. Character and Classification of Tree Health and Early Detection. Character and Classification of Tree Health and Early Detection. Character and Classification of The Classificati

- ssed April 3, 2019